

**CAQH Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange (CORE)
CORE Review Work Group
RWG Straw Poll #3: Non-Substantive Comments**

Non-Substantive Comments Received on RWG Straw Poll #3

Table 1. Non-Substantive Comments Received on EFT Enrollment Data Rule

Table 1 summarizes non-substantive comments received on RWG Straw Poll #3 that pertain to the EFT Enrollment Data Rule along with RWG Co-chair and CORE staff response, when applicable.

Table 1.

Section 1. Background Summary		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
1.	One organization asked for the rule to include a placeholder for a link to the Nacha rules, which are still being updated.	The rule will include a link to Nacha’s main page to avoid any confusion if the link to the rule changes in the future.
Section 4. Rule Requirements		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
2.	One organization pointed out a space missing on line 273 and a misplaced article on line 316.	The language will be updated appropriately.

Table 2. Non-Substantive Comments Received on ERA Enrollment Data Rule

Table 2 summarizes non-substantive comments received on RWG Straw Poll #3 that pertain to the ERA Enrollment Data Rule along with RWG Co-chair and CORE staff response, when applicable.

Table 2.

Section 3. Scope		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
3.	One organization noted that Section 3.2.2. states “EFT” when it should be “ERA.”	The rule will be updated to replace references of “EFT” with “ERA.”
4.	One organization asked for clarification regarding “Electronic Remittance Advice Information” which can be repeated in section 3.2.1.	This example will be removed and replaced with a more applicable example.

**CAQH Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange (CORE)
CORE Review Work Group
RWG Straw Poll #3: Non-Substantive Comments**

Table 3. Non-Substantive Comments Received on EFT & ERA Enrollment Data Rules

Table 3 summarizes non-substantive comments received on RWG Straw Poll #3 that pertain to the EFT & ERA Enrollment Data Rules along with RWG Co-chair and CORE staff response, when applicable.

Table 3.

Section 3. Scope		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
5.	One organization suggested that “currently” should be updated to “historically” when talking about the challenges faced during EFT and ERA enrollment.	Time-based adjectives will be removed from the rules.

Table 4. Non-Substantive Comments Received on CORE-required Maximum ERA Enrollment Data Set Companion Documents

Table 4 summarizes non-substantive comments received on RWG Straw Poll #3 that pertain to the CORE-required Maximum ERA Enrollment Data Set Companion with RWG Co-chair and CORE staff response, when applicable.

Table 4.

Electronic Remittance Advice Information		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
6.	One organization asked how the “Method of Retrieval” is identified if the vendor sets up the retrieval process.	n/a

Table 5. Non-Substantive Comments Received on CORE-required Maximum EFT & ERA Enrollment Data Set Companion Documents

Table 5 summarizes non-substantive comments received on RWG Straw Poll #3 that pertain to the CORE-required Maximum EFT & ERA Enrollment Data Set Companion with RWG Co-chair and CORE staff response, when applicable.

Table 5.

Provider Information (EFT & ERA)		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
7.	One organization suggested a defined list for “Business Type.”	Health plans or their agents may provide a pre-defined list of business types on their enrollment forms.
8.	One organization asked how to verify if the “Provider Physical and Legal Address” is the address registered with the IRS.	The CORE-required Maximum EFT and ERA Enrollment Data Sets do not require data to be validated.

**CAQH Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange (CORE)
CORE Review Work Group
RWG Straw Poll #3: Non-Substantive Comments**

Submission Information (EFT & ERA)		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
9.	One organization asked how other organizations will use "Back of Voided Check."	n/a
10.	One organization noted that they do not use "Requested EFT/ERA State/Change/Cancel Date."	n/a
11.	One organization asked for "Written Signature of Person Submitting Enrollment" to remain optional.	"Written Signature of Person Submitting Enrollment Form is optional on both the EFT and ERA CORE-required Maximum Enrollment Data Sets.
Electronic Remittance Advice Information (ERA only)		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
12.	One organization asked how the "Method of Retrieval" is identified if the vendor sets up the retrieval process.	n/a

Table 6. Non-Substantive Comments Received on CORE EFT & ERA Enrollment Data Certification Test Scenarios

Table 6 summarizes non-substantive comments received on RWG Straw Poll #3 that pertain to the CORE EFT & ERA Enrollment Data Certification Test Scenarios with RWG Co-chair and CORE staff response, when applicable.

Table 6.

Certification Test Scenarios		
#	Comment(s)	RWG Co-chair and CORE Staff Response
13.	One organization commented that the "Confirmation of Receipt of an Electronic Enrollment Submission" Section specifies the way the electronic method must act.	The Certification Test Scenarios outline the rule requirements.