CAQH CORE | COMMITTEE ON OPERATING RULES FOR INFORMATION EXCHANGE.

CAQH CORE Review Work Group (RWG) Straw Poll #1 –
Draft CAQH CORE Attachments Operating Rules

Straw Poll Purpose & Overview
The purpose of this straw poll is to collect feedback on each CAQH CORE Review Work Group (RWG) Participating Organization's level of support for each section of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments Operating Rules and Certification Test Scenarios. This allows for participants to provide feedback prior to completing the RWG Ballot per the CAQH CORE Voting Process. The results of this straw poll will be discussed on the RWG's next call on Thursday, 09/23/21.

Items to review, include the following:
1. Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule
2. Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule
3. Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Test Scenarios
4. Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule
5. Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule
6. Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Test Scenarios

Each rule has two sections of rule requirements written pertaining the exchange method the requirements refer to. The two exchange methods are:

- **X12 Method:** Defined as the use of CORE Connectivity as the payload exchange method + X12 v6020X314 275 attachment payload format.
- **Non-X12 Method:** Defined as the use of CORE Connectivity as the payload exchange method without an X12 payload format. Using this method, the additional information or documentation is contained within the specific payload type.

Many of the draft requirements included in the non-X12 section of the rules (Section 5) are **identical** to the draft requirements in the X12 section (Section 4) of the rules. Identical requirements will only be straw polled once.

Additional Background
CAQH CORE launched its Attachments Advisory Group in 2019 as an industry collaboration to guide the development of common approaches for the exchange of attachments to reduce administrative burden. The Attachments Advisory Group prioritized opportunity areas for rule development based on opportunity areas identified in the CAQH CORE Attachments White Paper.

The Attachments Subgroup - Prior Authorization Use Case (ASG-PA) launched in July 2020 with **an initial focus on the electronic exchange of attachments for prior authorization.** The Attachments Subgroup evaluated opportunities identified and prioritized by the CAQH CORE Attachments Advisory Group with the ultimate goal of developing draft operating rule requirements. From July 2020 to March 2021 the subgroup completed one feedback form and two straw polls to draft the CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Operating Rules.

Following the conclusion of the Attachments Subgroup – Prior Authorization (ASG-PA) Use Case, CAQH CORE launched the Attachments Subgroup – Claims Use Case (ASG-CL). Continuing the work conducted by the ASG-PA, the subgroup shifted focus to the **electronic exchange of attachments for claims.** From April 2021 to June 2021 the group completed one feedback form and one straw poll to draft the CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Health Care Claims Operating Rules.

On 08/26/21, the RWG launched and reviewed the draft attachments requirements and scope in preparation for this straw poll.

Straw Poll Format
Items to review, listed in the order that they appear in the straw poll, include the following:

- **Part A: Question(s) Pertaining to the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Infrastructure Rule**

  NOTE: To reduce respondent burden, requirements in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Infrastructure Rule that are identical to the requirements in the Draft Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule are only straw polled **once**. *These requirements are indicated with an * in the list below and an additional note is provided for those questions.*

  - *§1-2.1 CAQH CORE Attachments Rule: Introduction Sections**
  - *§2.2 Business Requirement Justification and Focus*
  - *§3 Scope*
  - *§4.1 Processing Mode Requirements for X12 275 Attachments**
  - *§4.2 Connectivity Requirements for X12 275 Attachments**
  - *§4.3 System Availability and Reporting Requirements for X12 275 Attachments**
  - *§4.4 Payload Acknowledgements and Response Time Requirements for X12 275 Attachments**
  - *§4.5 Data Error Handling Requirements for Attachments using the X12 275 Transaction**
  - *§4.6 File Size Requirements for X12 275 Attachments*
    - *Subsection 4.6.1 Front End Server Requirements for Attachment using the X12 275 Transaction**
    - *Subsection 4.6.2 Internal Document Management Systems File Size Requirements Pertaining to Attachments using the X12 275 Transaction**
  - *§4.7 Companion Guide for X12 275 Attachments**
  - *§5 Infrastructure Requirements for Additional Documentation using the Non-X12 Method*

- **PART B: Question(s) Pertaining to the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Data Content Rule**
  - *§2.2 Business Requirement Justification and Focus*
  - *§3: Scope*
  - *§4.1 Reassociation Requirements Using the X12 275 Transaction*
  - *§5.1 Reassociation Requirements Using the Non-X12 Method*
  - *§6 Appendix: X12 TR3 Data Element and Reference Identification Mapping*

- **PART C: Question(s) Pertaining to Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Operating Rules – Certification Test Scenarios**

- **PART D: Question(s) Pertaining to Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Infrastructure Rule**
  - *§2.2 Business Requirement Justification and Focus*
  - *§3 Scope*
  - *§4.6 File Size Requirements for X12 275 Attachments*
    - *§4.6.3 Use of Multiple LX Loops on an X12 275 Transaction when Sending Multiple Attachments for a Single Claim*
  - *§4.8 Electronic Policy Access of Required Information for X12 275 Attachments*

- **PART E: Question(s) Pertaining to Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Data Content Rule**
  - *§2.2 Business Requirement Justification and Focus*
  - *§3: Scope*
  - *§4.1 Reassociation Requirements Using the X12 275 Transaction*
  - *§5.1 Reassociation Requirements Using the Non-X12 Method*
  - *§6 Appendix: X12 TR3 Data Element and Reference Identification Mapping*

- **PART F: Question(s) Pertaining to Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Operating Rules – Certification Test Scenarios**

Instructions

Part A of the straw poll ask respondents to read *each requirement* related to the **Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) PA Infrastructure Rule** including all sub-sections (e.g., Section 3.1; Section 3.2; etc.) and indicate their "Support" or "Non-support" for the draft language. **Note:** Many requirements are identical in the Draft CAQH CORE (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule. As such, respondents will only be straw polled on those requirements **once**.

Part B of the straw poll asks respondents to read *each requirement* of the **Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) PA Data Content Rule**, including all sub-sections (e.g., Section 3.1; Section 3.2; etc.) and indicate their "Support" or "Non-support" for the draft language.

Part C of the straw poll ask respondents to read the *CAQH CORE PA Attachments Certification Test Scenario* and indicate their "Support" or "Non-support" for the draft language.

Part D of the straw poll ask respondents to read *each requirement* related of the **Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule** including all sub-sections (e.g., Section 3.1; Section 3.2; etc.) and indicate their "Support" or "Non-support" for the draft language. **Note:** Only requirements that are unique to the Draft CAQH CORE (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rules are being straw polled in this section.

Part E of the straw poll ask respondents to read *each requirement* related of the **Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule** including all sub-sections (e.g., Section 3.1; Section 3.2; etc.) and indicate their "Support" or "Non-support" for the draft language.

Part F of the straw poll ask respondents to read *each CAQH CORE Claims Attachments Certification Test Scenario* and indicate their "Support" or "Non-support" for the draft language.

In all parts of the straw poll, respondents may provide comments relating to their responses, if applicable. Respondents may support the draft rule language, and still provide comments, feedback and/or suggested revisions**.** As always, respondents may also choose to abstain from responding to a question.

Additional Guidance

- Submit your response via the online link (not via the fillable PDF) by **Friday, 09/10/21, end of day.**
- Participants should **reference the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Operating Rules and Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Operating Rules** (included in the email sent to the RWG on Monday, 08/30/21) while completing the straw poll.
- This straw poll is to be completed by RWG Participating Organizations only. **Please coordinate within your organization to submit one response per organization**. Respondents may consult with other subject matter experts within their organization, as needed.
- To support coordination prior to submitting the final response via the online link, a copy of the online form in **a fillable PDF** was provided to you via email.
- Questions should be directed to Marianna Singh, CORE Senior Associate, at msingh@caqh.org.

  NOTE: In accordance with CAQH CORE policy, all responses will be kept strictly confidential.

CAQH CORE RWG - Participant Information

Please coordinate within your organization to submit only <u>one</u> response for your organization. Multiple responses from the same organization will not be tabulated.

First Name	
Last Name	
Position Title	
Name of CAQH CORE Participating Organization	
Email Address	
Phone Number	

Respondent Stakeholder Type

Please identify as one of the following stakeholder types:

	Provider/ Provider Association	Health Plan/ Health Plan Association	Vendor or Clearinghouse	Government	Other (includes Standards Organizations)
Select Stakeholder Type	⭕	⭕	⭕	⭕	⭕

PART A: Question(s) Pertaining to Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule

This Part of the straw poll asks respondents to review *each section* **Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/278) Infrastructure Rule**, including all sub-sections*,* and provide your organization's support/non-support for the draft section.

Section 1 CAQH CORE Attachments Rule: Background

1. Does your organization support ***Draft Sections 1 - 2.1: CAQH CORE Attachments Rule: Background*** of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, as written?

NOTE: Sections 1 - 2.1 are identical in the Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule and Attachments (275/837) Claims Operating Rules. As such, respondent will only be straw polled on this section <u>once</u>.

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

2. Does your organization support *Draft Section 2.2 Business Requirement Justification and Focus* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/278) Infrastructure Rule, as written?

NOTE: This subsection is unique in each rule.

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

Section 3 Scope

3. Does your organization support *Draft Section 3 Scope* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, as written?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

Section 4.1 Processing Mode Requirements for X12 275 Attachments

4. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.1 Processing Mode Requirements* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, as written?

NOTE: Given this requirement is identical in Section 4 (X12 Infrastructure Requirements) and Section 5 (Non-X12 Infrastructure Requirements) of this draft rule, respondents will only be straw polled on this section <u>once</u>.

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 4.2 Connectivity Requirements for X12 275 Attachments

5. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.2 Connectivity Requirements* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, as written?

NOTE: Given this section is identical in the Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule, respondents will only be straw polled on this section __once__.

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 4.3 System Availability and Reporting Requirements for X12 275 Attachments

6. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.3 System Availability and Reporting Requirements* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, as written?

Given this section is identical in the Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule and Section 5 (Non-X12 Infrastructure Requirements) of this rule; respondents will only be straw polled on this section __once__.

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 4.4 Payload Acknowledgments and Response Time Requirements for X12 275 Attachments

7. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.4 Payload Acknowledgements and Response Time Requirements for X12 275 Attachments* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, as written?

Given this draft requirement is identical in the Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule, respondents will only be straw polled on this section __once__.

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 4.5 Data Error Handling Requirements for X12 275 Attachments

8. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.5 Data Error Handling Requirements* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, which requires **use of X12 v6020X257 824**?

NOTE: Given this section is identical in the Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule, respondent will only be straw polled on this section once.

Support	Do Not Support	Abstain
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 4.6 File Size Requirements for X12 275 Attachments

9. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.6 File Size Requirements for X12 275 Attachments* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, as written?

NOTE: This section is identical in the Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule and Section 5 (Non-X12 Infrastructure Requirements) of this rule; respondents will only be straw polled on this section once.

Support	Do Not Support	Abstain
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 4.7 Companion Guide for X12 275 Attachments

10. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.7 Companion Guide for X12 275 Attachments* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule, as written?

*Note: This section is identical in the Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule and Section 5 (Non-X12 Infrastructure Requirements) of this rule; respondents will only be straw polled on this section **once**.*

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 5 Infrastructure Requirements for Additional Documentation using the Non-X12 Method

11. Does your organization support Draft Section 5 Infrastructure Requirements for Additional Documentation using the Non-X12 Method, as written?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**

NOTE: Given all requirements in Section 5 (Infrastructure Requirements for Additional Documentation using the Non-X12 Method) mirror the requirements in Section 4 (Infrastructure Requirements for Attachments using the X12 275 Method) of this rule, and the use of the non-X12 method is optional, the individual draft requirements will only be straw polled once. **See applicable questions above.**

If your organization has additional comments that pertain to the non-X12 infrastructure requirement sections that were not included in the questions above, please provide them in the space below.

PART B: Question(s) Pertaining to Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule

This Part of the straw poll asks respondents to review *each section* **Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule**, including all sub-sections, and provide your organization's support/non-support for the draft section.

Section 2 Issues to be Addressed and Business Requirement Justification

12. Does your organization support *Draft Section 2.2 Business Requirement Justification and Focus* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule, as written?

*NOTE: Given sections 1 – 2.1 are identical in all Draft CAQH CORE Attachments Operating Rules, only Section 2.2 is being straw polled separately. **See Question 1 of this Straw Poll to provide feedback on Sections 1-2.1.***

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

```




```

Section 3 Scope

13. Does your organization support *Draft Section 3 Scope* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule, as written?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

```




```

Section 4.1 Reassociation Requirements using the X12 275 Transaction

14. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.1 Reassociation Requirements Using the X12 Transaction* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule, as written?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

```




```

Section 5.1 Reassociation Requirements Using the Non-X12 Method

15. Does your organization support *Draft Section 5.1 Reassociation Requirements using the Non-X12 Method* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule, as written?

NOTE: Given, this draft requirement is identical in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule, respondent will only be straw polled on this section once.

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 6 Appendix

16. Does your organization support *Draft Section 6 Appendix* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule, which includes a table of *X12 TR3 Data Element and Reference Identification Mapping*?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific row(s) in the table for any comments provided.**

PART C: Question(s) Pertaining to Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Certification Test Scenarios

This Part of the straw poll asks respondents to review *the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Certification Test Scenarios* and provide your organization's support/non-support.

275/278 Certification Test Scenarios

17. Does your organization support the *Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Certification Test Scenarios*?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support the test scenarios. You may support the draft test scenarios and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the test scenarios for any comments provided.**

PART D: Question(s) Pertaining to Unique Requirements in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule

This Part of the straw poll asks respondents to review **unique requirements** in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule and provide your organization's support/non-support for the draft section.

Note: Sections included in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule that are **identical** to requirements in the CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Infrastructure Rule were surveyed in **Part A**.

Section 2 Issues to be Addressed and Business Requirement Justification

18. Does your organization support *Draft Section 2.2 Business Requirement Justification and Focus* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/837) Infrastructure Rule, as written?

*NOTE: Given sections 1 – 2.1 are identical in all Draft CAQH CORE Attachments Operating Rules, only Section 2.2 is being straw polled. **See Question 1 of this Straw Poll to provide feedback on Sections 1-2.1.***

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

Section 3 Scope

19. Does your organization support *Draft Section 3 Scope* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule, as written?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

Section 4.6 File Size Requirements for X12 275 Attachments

20. Does your organization support *Subsection 4.6.3 Use of Multiple LX Loops for Attachments sent using an X12 275 Transaction* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule, as written?

NOTE: This requirement is unique to the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule.

Support   **Do Not Support**   **Abstain**

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 4.8 Electronic Policy Access of Required Information for X12 275 Attachments

21. Does your organization support *Draft Section 4.8 Electronic Policy Access of Required Information for X12 275 Attachments* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule, as written?

NOTE: This requirement is unique to the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/837) Claims Infrastructure Rule. Additionally, given this requirement is identical in Section 4 (X12 Infrastructure Requirements) and Section 5 (Non-X12 Infrastructure Requirements), respondents will only be straw polled on this requirement <u>once</u>.

Support   **Do Not Support**   **Abstain**

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

Section 5 Infrastructure Requirements for Additional Documentation using the Non-X12 Method

22. Does your organization support Draft Section 5 Infrastructure Requirements for Additional Documentation using the Non-X12 Method, as written.

Support   **Do Not Support**   **Abstain**

NOTE: Given all unique requirements in Section 5 (Infrastructure Requirements for Additional Documentation using the Non-X12 Method) mirror the unique requirements in Section 4 (Infrastructure Requirements for Attachments using the X12 275 Method) of this rule, and the use of the non-X12 method is optional, the individual draft requirements will only be straw polled once. *See applicable questions above and in Part A of this Straw Poll.*

If your organization has additional comments that pertain to the non-X12 infrastructure requirement sections that were not included in the questions above, please provide them in the space below.

PART E: Question(s) Pertaining to Unique Requirements in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Data Content Rule

This Part of the straw poll asks respondents to review **_unique requirements_** in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule and provide your organization's support/non-support for the draft section.

NOTE: Sections included in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule that are **identical** to requirements in the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/278) Prior Authorization Data Content Rule were **straw polled in Part B**.

Section 2 Issues to be Addressed and Business Requirement Justification

23. Does your organization support **_Draft Section 2.2 Business Requirement Justification and Focus_** of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/837) Data Content Rule, as written?

NOTE: Given sections 1 – 2.1 are identical in all Draft CAQH CORE Attachments Operating Rules, only Section 2.2 is being straw polled. **See Question 1 of this Straw Poll to provide feedback on Sections 1-2.1.**

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

Section 3 Scope

24. Does your organization support **_Draft Section 3 Scope_** of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachment (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule, as written?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) for any comments provided.**

Section 4.1 Reassociation Requirements using the X12 275 Transaction

25. Does your organization support **_Draft Section 4.1 Reassociation Requirements using the X12 275 Transaction_** of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule, as written?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

```



```

Section 5.1 Reassociation Requirements Using the Non-X12 Method

26. Does your organization support *Draft Subsection 5.1 Reassociation Requirements for the Non-X12 Method* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule, as written?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the rule for any comments provided.**

```



```

Section 6 Appendix

27. Does your organization support *Draft Section 6 Appendix* of the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Data Content Rule, which includes a table of *X12 TR3 Data Element and Reference Identification Mapping*?

Support	**Do Not Support**	**Abstain**
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support this section of the rule. You may support a section of the draft rule and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific row(s) in the table for any comments provided.**

```



```

PART F: Question(s) Pertaining to Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Certification Test Scenarios

This Part of the straw poll asks respondents to review *the Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Certification Test Scenarios* and provide your organization's support/non-support.

275/837 Certification Test Scenarios

28. Does your organization support the ***Draft CAQH CORE Attachments (275/837) Claims Certification Test Scenarios***?

Support	Do Not Support	Abstain
○	○	○

If applicable, please provide comments as to why you support or do not support the test scenarios. You may support the draft test scenarios and still provide comments, suggested revisions, etc. **Please refer to the specific line number(s) in the test scenarios for any comments provided.**

End of Straw Poll

Thank you for completing RWG Straw Poll #1. We will review the results of the straw poll on our next call, **Thursday, September 23, 2021 from 2:00 – 3:30pm ET**.

As a reminder, please click "next" to formally submit your feedback.